



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/642,931

08/18/2003

Hassan Tanbakuchi

10020832-1

3861

7590

03/02/2005

AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

Legal Department, DLA29

Intellectual Property Administration

P.O. Box 7599

Loveland, CO 80537-0599

EXAMINER

BOUTSIKARIS, LEONIDAS

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2872

DATE MAILED: 03/02/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/642,931

Applicant(s)

TANBAKUCHI, HASSAN

Examiner

Leo Boutsikaris

Art Unit

2872

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 August 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 18 August 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/18/03; 1/10/05.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Claim Objections***

Claims 3, 19 are objected to because of the following informalities: the above claims, in line 3, recite that the various electrical components (e.g., inductances, and shunt capacitances) enable the transmission line circuit to substantially match the target source impedance at the target operating frequency. This is confusing, since it is not clear whether it is the choice of the values of the above components that enables the impedance matching or some other factor related to the electrical components. For examination purposes the former will be assumed.

Appropriate correction is required.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 5-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Parayanthal (US 6,057,954) in view of Akiyama (US 2003/0138179).

Regarding claim 1, Parayanthal discloses an electro-absorption modulator device (Fig. 2), whose electrical equivalent circuit is shown in Fig. 3. The device comprises an input microstrip line 214 and an output microstrip line 216, each having a series inductance L1 and L2,

Art Unit: 2872

respectively, and a resulting characteristic impedance at the operating frequency. Furthermore, the device comprises an electro-absorption modulator having a signal electrode 206, a shunt capacitance  $C$ , and a resulting characteristic impedance at the operating frequency; wherein the values of the various inductances, capacitances and resistances are chosen so that the characteristic impedance of the device is substantially matched to the target source impedance  $R_1$  at the target operating frequency (lines 6-39, col. 3, 63-65, col. 3).

Regarding the values of the impedances of the input and output lines (due to  $L_1$  and  $L_2$ , respectively) as well as the impedance of the modulator itself (due to  $R_s$  and  $C$ ), Parayanthal discloses sets of experimental results, wherein the reflection coefficient is measured for various values of the electrical components. In one example,  $R_s$  was 15 ohms,  $C$  was 0.7 pF, which resulted in an impedance for the modulator itself having magnitude of 27.2 Ohms (see second term of equation (1)), at an operating frequency of 10 GHz, which is less than the target source impedance of 50 Ohms (lines 61-67, col. 5). Similarly, the magnitude of the impedance due to  $L_2$ , at the same frequency, for  $L_2=2$  nH, is 125.6 Ohms, which is greater than the source impedance of 50 Ohms. Regarding the impedance due to  $L_1$ , Fig. 10B shows a contour plot of the device return loss coefficient, wherein a range of values of  $L_1$  which would produce a characteristic impedance greater than 50 Ohms (at a target operating frequency of 10 GHz, for example) is shown (for example  $L_1 > 0.8$  nH).

However, Parayanthal does not specify the length of the microstrip lines and the signal electrode being less than a one-quarter of the target wavelength corresponding to the target operating frequency. Akiyama discloses an electro-absorption modulator device (Fig. 8) comprising various segments of modulating elements 10A, each having a signal electrode 8b,

Art Unit: 2872

and adjacent modulating elements being connected with microstrip lines 8a. The length of all the above elements is preferably less than a quarter of the wavelength of the high frequency electric input signal (i.e., the modulation signal), see [0091], [0097]-[0102], [0110]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the lengths of all the components of the device disclosed by Parayanthal smaller than one quarter of the wavelength corresponding to the operating frequency, as taught by Akiyama, for maintaining the overall impedance of the device small and matched to the input impedance of 50 Ohms (see [0091] and [0125] in Akiyama).

Regarding claim 5, the input inductance L1 is chosen so that the device circuit has a characteristic impedance close to the target operating frequency (i.e., producing small return loss).

Regarding claims 6-9, 13-17, Parayanthal does not disclose that the signal electrode may be segmented, comprising multiple spaced-apart signal electrode segments connected in series, with adjacent segments being connected by a respective microstrip line, e.g., a wire. In Akiyama's electro-absorption modulator device, the signal electrode has a distributed traveling wave structure comprising multiple spaced-apart segments 8b connected via wires 8a. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a segmented signal electrode for the modulator of Parayanthal, as taught by Akiyama, for achieving smaller reflection of high frequency electric signals due to mismatch of impedances (see [0032]-[0033] in Akiyama).

Regarding claim 10, the target source impedance is 50 Ohms.

Art Unit: 2872

Regarding claim 12, Parayanthal does not specify that the signal electrode is formed on a ridge structure, i.e., that the waveguiding core layer has a ridge structure. In Akiyama's electro-absorption modulator device, the modulation occurs along an optical waveguide core layer 103b has the form of a ridge (see Fig. 11 and [0118]). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the modulator in the device of Parayanthal in the form of a ridge, as taught by Akiyama, for better confinement of the light guided therein.

Regarding claim 11, there are electrically insulating layers 6 disposed between electrically conducting layers 2 and 10A (see fig. 8 in Akiyama).

Claims 2-3, 18-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Parayanthal (US 6,057,954) in view of Akiyama (US 2003/0138179) and further in view of Mayer (US 5,793,516).

Parayanthal in view of Akiyama discloses all the limitations of the above claims except for disclosing that a shunt capacitance is connected in conjunction with the input and output inductances (constituting the input and output microstrip lines). Mayer discloses an optical modulator circuit, wherein in one embodiment, LC elements are connected at the input and output of the modulator element (i.e., L1 and C1 at the input of MD and L2 and C2 at the output of MD). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to connect shunt capacitances to the input and output inductances in the device of Parayanthal, for performing filtering for high frequency modulation signals.

Art Unit: 2872

Regarding claim 3, the values of the inductances and capacitances are chosen so that the modulator circuit impedance substantially matches the source impedance at the operating frequency (lines 40-65, col. 3 in Parayanthal).

Claims 4, 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Parayanthal (US 6,057,954) in view of Akiyama (US 2003/0138179) and Mayer (US 5,793,516) and further in view of Nagra (US 6,590,691).

Parayanthal in view of Akiyama discloses all the limitations of the above claims except for disclosing a parallel RC circuit at the output of the modulator. Nagra discloses an optical modulator device 200, wherein a parallel RC circuit 208 is disposed after the output series inductance, which is disposed after the modulator element 202 (Fig. 5, line 58, col. 7 to line 11, col. 8). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to connect a shunt capacitance in parallel with the output resistive bonding pad, as taught by Nagra, for filtering high frequency signal components.


Koren (US 2004/0032646, Fig. 3) discloses an electro-absorption modulator device comprising two series inductors before and after the modulator circuit, which includes a shunt capacitance. Shigeta (US 2004/0001242, Fig. 5) discloses an electro-absorption modulator device having a uniform signal electrode 4 disposed between an input and an output strip line 7.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dr. Leo Boutsikaris whose telephone number is 571-272-2308.

Art Unit: 2872

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Leo Boutsikaris, Ph.D.  
Primary Patent Examiner, AU 2872  
February 28, 2005



**LEONIDAS BOUTSIKARIS**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**